

## Growth of Betti Numbers of Modules over Generalized Golod Rings

Li-Chuan Sun\*

*Department of Mathematics, Purdue University, West Lafayette, Indiana 47907*

metadata, citation and similar papers at [core.ac.uk](http://core.ac.uk)

Received August 21, 1996

### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a commutative Noetherian local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and residue field  $k$ , and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module. A resolution

$$\cdots \rightarrow F_2 \xrightarrow{\partial_2} F_1 \xrightarrow{\partial_1} F_0 \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

of  $M$  by free modules of finite rank, such that  $\partial_n(F_n) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}F_{n-1}$  for all  $n \geq 1$ , is called a *minimal free resolution*. It is known to be unique up to isomorphism of complexes.

The  $n$ th Betti number of  $M$  over  $R$  is the integer  $b_n = \text{rank } F_n$ . The sequence  $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is called the *Betti sequence* of  $M$ . It is said to have *strongly exponential growth*, cf. [3, p. 34], if there are real numbers  $1 < A \leq B$  such that the inequalities

$$A^n \leq b_n \leq B^n$$

hold for all sufficiently large  $n$ .

The following questions are raised by Avramov:

*Problem 1* [2, Sect. 5]. Is the Betti sequence eventually nondecreasing?

*Problem 2* [3, (1.2)]. Is the growth of the Betti sequence either polynomially bounded or strongly exponential?

It is known that there always exists a positive real number  $B$  such that  $b_n \leq B^n$  for all  $n$ , cf. [3, (2.5)], hence the *radius of convergence*  $\rho$  of the

\* E-mail address: sunlc@puma.att.com. Current address: 200 Laurel Ave., Room 1R508, Middletown, NJ 07748.

Poincaré series  $P_M^R(t) = \sum_{n \geq 0} b_n t^n$  is positive. Avramov proposes in [5] to use the reciprocal value  $1/\rho$  as a measure for the asymptotic growth of Betti sequence, and calls it the *curvature* of  $M$ :

$$\text{curv}_R M = \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt[n]{b_n}.$$

When  $P_M^R(t)$  represents a rational function,  $\text{curv}_R M \leq 1$  if and only if  $\{b_n\}_{n \geq 0}$  is polynomially bounded, cf. [3, (2.3)]. The most general class of local rings for which  $P_M^R(t)$  is known to be rational for each  $M$  is given by the *generalized Golod rings* introduced in [4] (the definition is recalled in Section 2). They include the complete intersections. Rationality is an important property, as Anick [1] has constructed rings for which  $P_k^R(t)$  is not rational.

In this paper we prove:

**THEOREM.** *Let  $M$  be a finitely generated module over a generalized Golod ring  $R$ . If  $\text{curv}_R M = \gamma > 1$ , then*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{\gamma^n} > 0.$$

Together with [3, (2.3)], the following corollary provides a positive answer to Problem 2 for modules over generalized Golod rings.

**COROLLARY.** *For  $R$  and  $M$  as above,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (b_{n+1}/b_n) = \gamma$ . In particular, the Betti sequence is eventually increasing and grows strongly exponential.*

Examples of generalized Golod rings include Golod rings, rings of small embedding codimension or small linkage number (Avramov, Jacobsson, Kustin, Miller, Palmer), and some rings defined by Huneke–Ulrich ideals (Kustin). For these examples, the conclusion of the theorem is known and can be found in [13, 14, 10, 11] where each case required a separate argument and used the explicit form of the denominator of  $P_M^R(t)$ . In these special cases, Problem 1 is known to have a positive answer also when  $\text{curv}_R M = 1$ , but requires a completely different approach. It is still open for generalized Golod rings.

## 2. GROWTH OF BETTI NUMBERS

**1. Tate Resolutions.** We recall Tate's process [15] of killing cycles by adjoining a set  $X$  of exterior and divided powers variables.

Choose a set of exterior variables  $X_1$  such that  $\partial(X_1)$  is a minimal set of generators of  $\mathfrak{m}$ . For  $q \geq 2$ , choose  $X_q$  such that  $\partial(X_q)$  is a basis of the

$k$ -vector space  $H_{q-1}(R\langle X_{<q} \rangle)$ , where  $X_{<q} = \{x \in X : |x| < q\}$ . Here  $x \in X_q$  is a divided powers variable if  $q$  is even, and an exterior variable if  $q$  is odd. Iterating this process, one gets a DG algebra of the form  $R\langle X \rangle$  with  $H(R\langle X \rangle) \cong k$ .

Gulliksen [6] and Schoeller [12] have proved that  $R\langle X \rangle$  is a minimal free resolution of  $k$ . For  $q \geq 1$ , the construction yields

$$H_0(R\langle X_{\leq q} \rangle) \cong k; \quad (1)$$

$$H_i(R\langle X_{\leq q} \rangle) = 0 \quad \text{for } 0 < i < q. \quad (2)$$

LEMMA. For  $q \geq 2$ , we have

$$H_{q+1}(R\langle X_{\leq q} \rangle) \cong H_{q+1}(R\langle X_{\leq q+1} \rangle). \quad (3)$$

*Proof.* Set  $U = R\langle X_{\leq q} \rangle$ . If  $H_q(U) = 0$ , then there is nothing to prove since from the construction one has  $R\langle X_{\leq q} \rangle = R\langle X_{\leq q+1} \rangle$ . Hence we assume that  $H_q(U) \neq 0$ . Let  $z$  be a cycle in  $U$  such that  $0 \neq \text{cls}(z) \in H_q(U)$  and adjoins a variable  $x$  such that  $\partial(x) = z$ .

If  $q$  is even, then  $U\langle x : \partial(x) = z \rangle$  is the exterior algebra over  $U$  of the free  $U$ -module on a generator  $x$  of degree  $q + 1$ . From the proof of [15, Theorem 2], there is a long exact sequence on homology

$$\begin{aligned} \rightarrow H_1(U) \rightarrow H_{q+1}(U) \rightarrow H_{q+1}(U\langle x \rangle) \rightarrow H_0(U) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_q(U) \\ \rightarrow H_q(U\langle x \rangle) \rightarrow H_{-1}(U) \rightarrow \cdots \end{aligned}$$

By (1) and (2),  $H_1(U) = 0 = H_{-1}(U)$ ,  $H_0(U) \cong k$ , and  $\alpha(1) = \text{cls}(z) \neq 0$ , one concludes that  $H_{q+1}(U) \cong H_{q+1}(U\langle x \rangle)$ .

If  $q$  is odd, then  $U\langle x : \partial(x) = z \rangle$  is the graded free  $U$ -module with basis  $\{x^{(i)} : |x^{(i)}| = i(q + 1)\}_{i \geq 0}$ . In this case, from *loc. cit.* there is a long exact sequence

$$\begin{aligned} H_1(U\langle x \rangle) \rightarrow H_{q+1}(U) \rightarrow H_{q+1}(U\langle x \rangle) \rightarrow H_0(U\langle x \rangle) \xrightarrow{\alpha} H_q(U) \\ \rightarrow H_q(U\langle x \rangle) \rightarrow H_{-1}(U\langle x \rangle). \end{aligned}$$

By (1) and (2),  $H_1(U\langle x \rangle) = 0 = H_{-1}(U\langle x \rangle)$ ,  $H_0(U\langle x \rangle) \cong k$ , and  $\alpha(1) = \text{cls}(z) \neq 0$ , one concludes that  $H_{q+1}(U) \cong H_{q+1}(U\langle x \rangle)$ .

Iterating this process, we obtain (3). ■

2. *Halperin's Theorem.* The cardinality  $e_q$  of the set  $X_q$  is known to be independent of the construction. It is called the  $q$ th deviation of  $R$ . In [9, Theorem B], Halperin proves that if  $R$  is not a complete intersection,

then  $e_q \neq 0$  for all  $q \geq 1$ , that is,

$$H_q(R\langle X_{\leq q} \rangle) \neq 0 \quad \text{for } q \geq 1. \quad (4)$$

3. *Gulliksen's Theorem.* Set  $U = R\langle X_{\leq q} \rangle$ . It is proved in [8, Theorem 2], cf. also [4, (1.4)] that the power series

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i, \quad \text{where } a_i = \dim_k H_i(U)$$

represents a rational function

$$h(t) = \frac{u(t)}{v(t)} \quad \text{with } v(t) = \prod_{0 \leq 2i \leq q} (1 - t^{2i})^{e_{2i}} \text{ and } u(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]. \quad (5)$$

4. *Avramov's Theorem.* Let  $(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  be a local ring, let  $U$  be a DG  $R$  algebra with  $H_0(U) \cong k$ , and let  $B = \{h_i\}_{i \geq 1}$  be a homogeneous basis of the  $k$ -vector space  $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon_{H(U)} : H(U) \rightarrow k)$ . The DG algebra  $U$  is called *Golod* if there is a function  $\mu$  from the set of finite sequences of elements of  $B$  to  $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon_U : U \rightarrow k)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(h_i) &= z_i \quad \text{with } \partial(z_i) = 0 \text{ and } \text{cls}(z_i) = h_i; \\ \partial\mu(h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{i_n}) &= \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \overline{\mu(h_{i_1}, \dots, h_{i_j})} \mu(h_{i_{j+1}}, \dots, h_{i_n}), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\bar{a}$  stands for  $(-1)^{|a|+1}a$ .

Let  $R\langle X \rangle$  denote the Tate resolution described above and set  $X_{\leq q} = \{x \in X : |x| \leq q\}$ . In [4, (1.7)], a ring  $R$  is called *generalized Golod* of level  $\leq q$  if the DG algebra  $U = R\langle X_{\leq q} \rangle$  is Golod. It is proved in [4, (1.4)] that for each  $M$  there exists a polynomial  $p_M(t) \in \mathbb{Z}[t]$  such that

$$P_M^R(t) = \frac{p_M(t)}{v(t) \cdot w(t)} \quad (6)$$

with  $v(t)$  as in (5) and

$$w(t) = 1 + t - t \cdot h(t), \quad (7)$$

where  $h(t)$  is as in (5).

To prove our main theorem, we need the following property of

5. *Rational Functions.* Let  $\sum_{n \geq 0} b_n t^n$  be the Taylor expansion of a rational function  $f(t)$ . Assume that  $b_n \geq 0$  for all  $n$ , and that the radius of convergence  $\rho$  of  $f(t)$  satisfies  $\rho \leq 1$ . It is well known and easy to see that

the non-negativity of  $b_n$ 's implies that  $\rho$  is a pole of  $f(t)$ . Furthermore, if the order  $d$  of the pole at  $\rho$  of  $f(t)$  is strictly bigger than the orders of its other poles on the circle  $|z| = \rho$ , then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} b_n \frac{\rho^n}{n^{d-1}} > 0. \quad (8)$$

This is proved in [13, (2.2)].

6. *Proof of the Theorem.* The idea is to study the rational function  $w(t)$  from (6). First, by (5) and (7),  $w(t)$  converges inside the unit circle. On the other hand, because  $P_M^R(t)$  converges in a circle of radius  $\rho = \gamma^{-1} < 1$ , the function  $w(t)$  converges at  $\rho$ . By (6), the radius of convergence  $\rho$  has to be a root of  $v(t) \cdot w(t)$ , hence  $w(\rho) = 0$  by (5). Thus,  $\rho$  is a root of  $w(t)$ .

Furthermore, under the hypothesis of the theorem  $R$  cannot be a complete intersection since in that case  $\gamma = \text{curv}_R M \leq 1$  by a result of Gulliksen [7]. From (1), (2),  $h(t)$  has the Taylor expansion of the form  $1 + \sum_{i \geq q} a_i t^i$  with  $a_i = \dim_k H_i(U) \geq 0$ . Thus, by (3), (4), and (7), the function  $w(t)$  has the Taylor expansion

$$w(t) = 1 - a_q \cdot t^{q+1} - a_{q+1} \cdot t^{q+2} - \dots, \\ \text{with all } a_i \geq 0, a_q \neq 0, a_{q+1} \neq 0. \quad (9)$$

Since the derivative of the analytic function  $w(t)$  has the Taylor expansion

$$w'(t) = -(q+1) \cdot a_q \cdot t^q - (q+2) \cdot a_{q+1} \cdot t^{q+1} - \dots,$$

we also have  $w'(\rho) < 0$ . Thus,  $\rho$  is a simple root of  $w(t)$ .

Let  $\xi$  be a complex number with  $|\xi| = 1$ .

If  $\xi = -1$ , then by (9) and the fact that either  $q+1$  or  $q+2$  is odd, we have  $w(\xi\rho) = w(-\rho) > w(\rho) = 0$ .

If  $\xi$  is not real, then either  $\xi^{q+1}$  or  $\xi^{q+2}$  is not real. If  $\xi^{q+1}$  is not real, we write  $w(t)$  as  $h_1(t) - h_2(t)$ , where  $h_1(t) = 1 - a_q t^{q+1}$  and  $h_2(t) = a_{q+1} t^{q+2} + \dots$ . An easy computation shows that

$$|h_1(\rho\xi)| > |h_1(\rho)| = |h_2(\rho)| \geq |h_2(\rho\xi)|. \quad (10)$$

If  $\xi^{q+2}$  is not real, then we choose  $h_1(t) = 1 - a_{q+1} t^{q+2}$  and  $h_2(t) = a_q t^{q+1} + a_{q+2} t^{q+3} + \dots$ . The inequalities (10) hold.

The three cases above cover all possibilities, hence  $w(\rho\xi) \neq 0$ . Thus,  $\rho$  is the only root of  $w(t)$  on the circle of the radius of convergence of  $P_M^R(t)$ .

It follows that we can apply (8) with  $d = 1$ . This gives the assertion of the Theorem. ■

## ACKNOWLEDGMENT

I am very grateful to my thesis advisor Luchezar Avramov for helpful discussions and comments.

## REFERENCES

1. D. Anick, A counterexample to a conjecture of Serre, *Ann. of Math.* **115** (1982), 1–33.
2. L. L. Avramov, Local algebra and rational homotopy, in “Homotopie algébrique et algèbre locale” (J.-M. Lemaire and J.-C. Thomas, Eds.), Astérisque, Vol. 113–114, pp. 15–43, Société Math. France, Paris, 1984.
3. L. L. Avramov, Homological asymptotics of modules over local rings, in “Commutative Algebra” (M. Hochster, C. Huneke, and J. Sally, Eds.), Math. Sci. Res. Inst. Publ., Vol. 15, pp. 33–62, Springer-Verlag, New York/Berlin, 1989.
4. L. L. Avramov, Local rings over which all modules have rational Poincaré series, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **91** (1994), 29–48.
5. L. L. Avramov, Modules with extremal resolutions, *Math. Res. Lett.* **3** (1996).
6. T. H. Gulliksen, A proof of the existence of minimal algebra resolutions, *Acta Math.* **120** (1968), 53–58.
7. T. H. Gulliksen, A change of ring theorem with applications to Poincaré series and intersection multiplicity, *Math. Scand.* **34** (1974), 167–183.
8. T. H. Gulliksen, On the Hilbert series of the homology of differential graded algebras, *Acta Math.* **46** (1980), 15–22.
9. S. Halperin, The non-vanishing of the deviations of a local ring, *Comment. Math. Helv.* **62** (1987), 646–653.
10. A. R. Kustin, Huneke–Ulrich almost complete intersections of Cohen–Macaulay type two, *J. Algebra* **174** (1995), 373–429.
11. A. R. Kustin, The deviation two Gorenstein rings of Huneke and Ulrich, in “Commutative Algebra” (A. Simis, N. Trung, and G. Valla, Eds.), pp. 140–163, World Scientific, Singapore, 1992.
12. C. Schoeller, Homologie des anneaux locaux noethériens, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. A* **265** (1967), 768–771.
13. L.-C. Sun, Growth of Betti numbers of modules over local rings of small embedding codimension or small linkage number, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **96** (1994), 57–71.
14. L.-C. Sun, “Growth of Betti Numbers and Cohomology Operators Defined by a Deformation,” Thesis, Purdue University, 1996.
15. J. Tate, Homology of noetherian rings and local rings, *Illinois J. Math.* **1** (1957), 14–25.